1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DURIE TANGRI LLP RAGESH K. TANGRI (SBN 159477) rtangri@durietangri.com DARALYN J. DURIE (SBN 169825) ddurie@durietangri.com JOYCE C. LI (SBN 323820) jli@durietangri.com 217 Leidesdorff Street San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: 415-362-6666 Facsimile: 415-236-6300 DURIE TANGRI LLP ALLYSON R. BENNETT (SBN 302090) abennett@durietangri.com 953 East 3rd Street Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: 213-992-4499	
10	Facsimile: 415-236-6300	
11 12	Attorneys for Defendant CALIFORNIA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION (aka CalPERS)	
13		
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE SATE OF CALIFORNIA	
15	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
16	OAKLAND DIVISION	
17	JOSEPH JOHN JELINCIC, JR.,	Case No. RG21090970
18	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT CALPERS'S ANSWER TO
19	v.	PLAINTIFF JELINCIC'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDATE AND COMPLAINT
20	CALIFORNIA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES'	FOR EQUITABLE RELIEF
21	RETIREMENT SYSTEM BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION,	Dept: 16
22	Defendant.	Judge: Honorable Michael M. Markman
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

DEFENDANT CALIFORNIA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION ("CALPERS" or "DEFENDANT") answers PLAINTIFF JOSEPH JOHN JELINCIC, JR's ("JELINCIC" or "PLAINTIFF") Petition for Writ of Mandate and Complaint for Equitable Relief ("Petition/Complaint") as follows:

CALPERS generally denies each and every allegation of JELINCIC's Petition/Complaint, except verified paragraphs 4, 6-8, 15-16, 32, 50-51, 55-57, 62, 64, 68, 70-74, which CALPERS specifically addresses below.

- 4. CALPERS admits that it did not release records in response to JELINCIC's CPRA request for "[a]ny records, including but not limited to, documents, analysis, appraisals, notes, minutes and/or recordings, which document, support, suggest, hint or warn [of] a market value lower than reported value for any private asset." *See* Petition/Complaint, Ex. G. CALPERS denies any remaining allegations in paragraph 4.
- 6. CALPERS admits that JELINCIC is a former member of the CALPERS Board of Administration, was a CALPERS investment officer from 1986-2019, and is a member of CALPERS and receives a pension from CALPERS. CALPERS lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in paragraph 6 and on that basis denies them.
- 7. CALPERS admits that JELINCIC has attended some CALPERS Board meetings since he stopped being a member of the Board. CALPERS lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in paragraph 7 and on that basis denies them.
- 8. CALPERS lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 8 and on that basis denies them.
 - 15. Denied.
 - 16. Denied.
- 32. CALPERS admits that, in the transcript of the August 17, 2020 open session meeting, President Jones states, "The purpose of today's meeting is to hear briefing on performance, employment, and personnel items." Otherwise, the transcript speaks for itself and CALPERS denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 32.
 - 50. CALPERS admits that its Office of Stakeholder Relations received a CPRA request from

JELINCIC on September 21, 2020. CALPERS admits that JELINCIC's CPRA request included the quoted language in paragraph 50. *See* Petition/Complaint, Ex. C at 1. Otherwise, the CPRA request speaks for itself and CALPERS denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 50.

- 51. CALPERS admits that it did not provide any records in response to JELINCIC's September 21, 2020 CPRA request. CALPERS admits that Exhibit C to the Petition/Complaint appears to be a copy of CALPERS's September 25, 2020 response letter to JELINCIC. Otherwise, the letter speaks for itself and CALPERS denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 51.
- 55. CALPERS admits that the Board agendas for the closed sessions held on December 1 and 2, 2020 listed "1. First Round Interviews for the CalPERS Chief Investment Office Position" and "Government Code sections 11126(a)(1) and (g)(1)." Otherwise, the agendas speak for themselves and CALPERS denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 55.
- 56. CALPERS admits that the Board agendas for the closed sessions held on December 14 and 16, 2020 listed "Second Round Interviews for the CalPERS Chief Investment Officer Position" and "Government Code sections 11126(a)(1) and (g)(1)." CALPERS admits that the only personnel matter identified in those agendas to be discussed during the closed sessions relates to the CIO position.

 Otherwise, the agendas speak for themselves and CALPERS denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 56.
- 57. CALPERS admits that the Board agenda for the closed session held on March 2, 2021 lists "Government Code sections 11126(a)(1) and (g)(1)." CALPERS admits that the only personnel matter identified in that agenda to be discussed during the closed session relates to the CIO position.

 Otherwise, the agenda speaks for itself and CALPERS denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 57.
 - 62. Denied.
- 64. CALPERS admits that the BDO June 30, 2002 Audit Wrap-Up Report¹ includes the quoted language in paragraph 64. Otherwise, the report speaks for itself and CALPERS denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 64.
 - 68. CALPERS admits that its Office of Stakeholder Relations received a CPRA request from

¹ https://www.calpers.ca.gov/docs/board-agendas/202011/risk/item6a-02_a.pdf.

JELINCIC on January 13, 2020. CALPERS admits that JELINCIC's CPRA request included the quoted language in paragraph 68. Otherwise, CALPERS lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in paragraph 68 and on that basis denies them.

- 70. CALPERS admits that it did not provide any records in response to JELINCIC's January 2020 CPRA request. CALPERS admits that Exhibit G to the Petition/Complaint appears to be a copy of a January 23, 2020 letter from CALPERS's Office of Stakeholder Relations to JELINCIC. CALPERS admits that the letter includes the quoted language in paragraph 70. Otherwise, the letter speaks for itself and CALPERS denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 70.
- 71. CALPERS admits that Exhibit H to the Petition/Complaint appears to be a copy of a January 24, 2020 letter from JELINCIC to the President of the CALPERS Board of Administration. CALPERS admits that the letter includes the quoted language in paragraph 71. Otherwise, the letter speaks for itself and CALPERS denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 71.
- 72. CALPERS admits that Exhibit I to the Petition/Complaint appears to be a copy of a February 11, 2020 letter from CALPERS's Legal Office to JELINCIC. CALPERS admits that the letter includes the quoted language in paragraph 72. Otherwise, the letter speaks for itself and CALPERS denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 72.
- 73. CALPERS admits that Exhibit J to the Petition/Complaint appears to be a copy of a February 23, 2020 letter from JELINCIC to the President of the CALPERS Board of Administration. CALPERS admits that the letter includes the quoted language in paragraph 73. Otherwise, the letter speaks for itself and CALPERS denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 73.
- 74. CALPERS admits that it did not respond to JELINCIC's February 23, 2020 letter. CALPERS admits that it did not release records in response to JELINCIC's CPRA request for "[a]ny records, including but not limited to, documents, analysis, appraisals, notes, minutes and/or recordings, which document, support, suggest, hint or warn [of] a market value lower than reported value for any private asset." *See* Petition/Complaint, Ex. G. CALPERS denies any remaining allegations in paragraph 74.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

For affirmative defenses, CALPERS alleges as follows:

CALPERS'S ANSWER TO JELINCIC'S PETITION/COMPLAINT / CASE NO. RG21090970

1

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am employed in San Francisco County, State of California, in the office of a member of the bar of this Court, at whose direction the service was made. I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is 217 Leidesdorff Street, San Francisco, CA 94111.

On April 28, 2021, I served the following documents in the manner described below:

DEFENDANT CALPERS'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF JELINCIC'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDATE AND COMPLAINT FOR EQUITABLE RELIEF

BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE: By electronically mailing a true and correct copy through Durie Tangri's electronic mail system from mrubalcaba@durietangri.com to the email addresses set forth below.

On the following part(ies) in this action:

Michael T. Risher LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL T. RISHER 2081 Center Street, #154 Berkeley, CA 94702 Email: michael@risherlaw.com; service@risherlaw.com

Attorney for Plaintiff Joseph John Jelincic, Jr.

Abenicio Cisneros LAW OFFICE OF ABENICIO CISNEROS 2443 Fillmore Street, #380-7379 San Francisco, CA 94115 Email: acisneros@capublicrecordslaw.com

Attorney for Plaintiff Joseph John Jelincic, Jr.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on April 28, 2021, at San Francisco, California.

Mary Ann Rubalcaba